INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

STUDY THE EVOLUTION OF RELIGIOUS THOUGHTS AND PHILOSOPHIES IN INDIA

M. Venkata Ramanarao

Lecturer in History, PRR and VS Govt College, Vidavalur, SPSR Nellore district, A.p - 524318
Email: mvrrao1976@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Almost one-sixth of the world's population, or 1.4 billion people, call India home. They are of many ethnic and religious backgrounds. India is home to 94% of the world's Hindu population, although there are sizable groups of Christians, Muslims, Sikhs, Buddhists, Janis, and practitioners of folk faiths as well. Indian religions—Hinduism, Buddhism, Sikhism, and Jainism—are the religions that have their roots in the Indian subcontinent. They are often referred to as Dharma religions or Indic religions. All of these faiths are categorized as Eastern faiths as well. Indian religions are a diverse group of religious communities that are not limited to the Indian subcontinent, despite their shared heritage.The dispersed Mesolithic rock paintings provide evidence of prehistoric religion in the Indian subcontinent.The early urbanized culture of the Harappa people of the Indus Valley Civilization, which flourished between 2600 and 1900 BCE and lasted from 3300 to 1300 BCE, precedes the Vedic religion.

 Keywords: Religion, Secularism, Originated, Religion, Communities.

INTRODUCTION

India is the birthplace of many world religions, and it also provides a conducive environment for the spread of those that began elsewhere. In the 1991 census, the official number of Hindu devotees, who represent a diverse range of intellectual and devotional traditions, was 687.6 million, or 82% of the total population. The ancient monastic traditions of Buddhism and Jainism, which are still significant minority religions in the late 20th century, have greatly influenced Indian philosophy, art, and society. In 1991, the percentage of people who identified as Buddhists was 0.8 percent, whilst the percentage of Janis was 0.4 percent. Beginning in the early eighth century, Islam expanded throughout South Asia from the West and eventually became the predominant minority religion in India. In actuality, India is home to at least the fourth-largest Muslim population globally, following Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Indonesia. India would have the second-largest Muslim population in the world if some researchers' estimates of the country's Muslim population were even higher. Since the middle of the nineteenth century, Sikhism—which originated in Punjab in the sixteenth century—

has expanded throughout India and the globe. Nearly all Christian faiths trace their origins to the period of the apostles, and as of 1991, there were 19.6 million followers in India. Zoroastrianism and Judaism, which originated with traders and exiles from the West, are represented by little populations that are primarily centered on the western coast of India. Diverse autonomous tribal religious communities are also active keepers of distinctive ethnic customs. In the Indian context, DarsŁana or Tattva is understood to be philosophy.Let us examine how the etymological definition of "philosophy" connects to Darsʁana or tattv.The phrase "the one through which it is seen" is translated as "Dṛ sŁyate anena iti darsŁanam."Philosophically speaking, "seeing" is synonymous with "realizing."Consequently, darsŁana signifies to rethinkAdditionally, the verb "realise" is a transitive verb. verb. Whenever we become aware, we are aware of "something."ing'. Saying that we realize "nothing" is equivalent to acknowledging the absence of any realization.All things considered, it is evident that "to realize" and "to know" are substantially related, and that realisation and knowledge are therefore related.edge. This connection is almost exactly one to one, or almost isomorrhic.phic. This element will surface in due course.urse. The terms "tat" and "tva" are simultaneously used to create the word tattva.Tat denotes "it" or "that," while tva denotesConsequently, the etymology of tattva is "you are that'. However, it's crucial to understand what tat means in Indian.ught. It denotes actuality or the "final" genuinelity. This is also the discussion that one branch of philosophy, metaphysics, talks about.Now,

because DarsŁana is concerned with knowing reality, it incorporates both a significant metaphysical and an important epistemological component.Therefore, the combination of these two elements roughly fulfills the definition of philosophy as Darsʁana in Indian culture.onscreen.

The degree to which a society's art and architecture flourish will determine how talented the society is seen as a whole. even such archaic societies as Mesopotamian and Egyptian. Greek, Chinese, and Indian artists are well-known throughout history for their artistic achievements. Fine arts are included in the category of art, and while architecture is also an art form, it is typically distinguished due to its unique qualities. While poetry, painting, sculpture, and music are all considered beautiful arts, architecture is given a spacious personality by its inherent dimensions. All forms of art are linked to science. Similar to how physics of sound governs music, perspective vision governs painting, and linguistics governs writing, engineering both directs and supports architecture. As a result, a culture that excels in the arts is likewise likely to excel in the sciences. Thus, it is accurate to say that architecture serves as the foundation of civilization. The primary observable remnants of humanity across the many stages of their evolutionary history are their architectural structures. In situations where historical records are either insufficient or dubious, architecture can be a helpful tool in clarifying numerous enigmatic historical issues.

We observe that there are various architectural styles in the world, and they vary from one another in both essential aspects and underlying

ideas. Greek architecture was considered to be exquisite and flawless. Scientific construction is a characteristic of human structures. Indian architecture is distinguished by a few unique qualities. Its spiritual element strikes one first. In India, the primary goal of producing art is to concretize the general religious consciousness of the populace. It is the reduction of the intellect to a material, such as stone, brick, mortar, or wood. Second, since Hindu architecture has mostly been regional in nature, the style of the buildings has been shaped by the various local conditions that were themselves the outcome of the availability of building materials. Thirdly, the development of religious concepts has had a significant influence on the growth of Indian architecture. The degree to which India's many religions have leaned on one another for doctrine and customs has also affected the degree to which the country's several architectural traditions—Buddhist, Hindu, and Jaina—have impacted one another. In general, Fergussion was correct to discuss architectural styles such as Buddhist, Hindu, and so forth. The distinctions result from the unique requirements that every religion has. The agamas, the essence of religious ceremonial, are the foundation of the architectural style of the medieval Hindu temple. Hindu architecture is influenced by the values that are seen as fundamental by that civilization, both religiously and secularly. Above all, climate determined building just as much as material did. While religious structures are typically defined by religious symbolism and secular structures by practical considerations, both cannot be separated from artistic impulses and utilitarian considerations. It was thought that even secular architecture ought to be beautiful.

HISTORY OF RELIGIOUS ENTITIES

Regarding the origins of Hindu architecture as a whole, there are many differing views. Some believe that Hindu religious buildings are the result of indigenous tradition, while others believe that foreign models had an influence. The following are the points that are typically made in favor of the indigenous theory:

1. The Hindu temple has several significant components, including the kudu, the vaulted roof, and the sikhara's pyramidal shape. It is thought that because the pyramidal sikhara recalls the Buddhist monastery's declining story, the vaulted roof resembles the Buddhist chaityas, and the kudu has a counterpart in the Buddhist sun-window. Therefore, it becomes sense to assume that the Buddhist religious structures gave rise to the Hindu temple.

2. The cemetery served as the model for the temple. There are two types of deities in the Hindu pantheon: (i) the older, still-worshipped ones in villages, who are more often appeased than worshipped and have attributes of ferocity and vengefulness; (ii) the more sophisticated deities, who have an intricate worship system based on love. Triple stones, usually surrounded by a circle of stones, are the representations of the village gods, or at least the majority of them. In essence, the stupa style and the megalithic burial system are complementary. The fact that some gods, such as Shiva, are connected to the crematorium and the skulls and ashes discovered there is also noteworthy.

3. The temple itself was derived from the home, much as the house itself was created from the naturally occurring caves and hollows in rocks. Later, this was further refined by associating the head of the household with the principal

resident in the temple, particularly if the latter was a king. As a result, in Tamil, Ko denotes both a king and a god, while Koli denotes both a palace and a temple. Those who obtain information about the Hindu temple from outside sources claim that Egyptian influence was present, citing the Egyptian temples from circa 1200 BC. These temples also included sanctums, halls, walls, pillars, and entrances.

The majority of ancient Indian religious structures are apsidal, square, semi-circular, or circular in shape; these shapes may or may not have religious significance. Certain scholars have a custom of drawing temple shapes from the three kinds of sacrificial platforms (fire altars) that vedic practitioners are aware of. However, this should be dismissed as implausible because the vedas themselves make no mention of temple worship.

Studying Indian architecture is essentially studying temple architecture—at least when it comes to the Hindu era. We may also put it this way: Hindus disregarded secular architecture. A mind unfamiliar with the tradition that built the temple will not immediately find the temple architecture to be beautiful; this is because religious symbolism in Hindu temples is esoteric and incomprehensible to the uninitiated, and there is a great deal of symbolism that, aside from its significance, may have no particular appeal. The statement "The proportions or motifis employed are governed by this mystic necessity to conform to an ideal pattern calculated to secure full harmony of the structure with the cosmos that it reproduces" may be the essence of spiritual wisdom in the context of the temple, or it may just be mere bombast. Some claim that the Hindu temple is a microcosm, a kind of magical replica of some unseen region or sacred being. It is feasible to endow the temple with symbolism and then claim that the temple represents it.

THE ESSENTIAL SPIRIT OF THE RELIGIONS

Human language cannot describe the faint spark of spiritual life. He who has witnessed the real transcending all limitations, possibilities, and relativities. We are delivered from a multitude of conclusions in the manifestation of imitation, only when we feel safe in our souls. Religion is the opiate of the people, as Karl Marx once stated, "the spirit of callous conditions, the core of coldblooded world." It is the cry of hopelessness wrung from innumerable enduring spirits to whom all natural satisfaction is a fantasy. The number of faiths that humans have practiced—and continue to practice—is immense; they encompass every conceivable and inconceivable variety. Many consist almost entirely of rituals, while others essentially have no ceremonies at all. Several faiths oppose all ethical ideas. While some gods are open to or tolerant of other gods, others view no other deity as superior because they hold all power and perfection inside themselves. Some religions encourage males to practice renunciation, abstinence, and detachment from everything, while others encourage them to engage in conflict, battle, and victory.

Regardless of his religion—Hindu, Buddhist, Muslim, or Christian—the individual who has faith in faiths is confident, yet there is a difference between the two sets. A religion does not analyze and ridicule people in order to further its own goals; rather, it views them with compassion and consideration. This friendly

understanding does not contradict deep desire or reflection. The aggressive temper is not prompted by commitment, but rather by limitations in perspective, unfamiliarity, and hardness. The Hindu religion, which is the dominant faith in India, is filled with unwavering convictions and yet devoid of harsh judgment.

A vital aspect of human existence is symbolism, which is the primary response that an animal may have evolved to meet the demands of an eternal, spatially unbounded world. Whether we entrust our faith to tangible objects like stocks and stones or to intangible thoughts and concepts, we are employing concrete representations that diminish the Absolute. We cannot give finality to what makes us naturally us, even while every social gathering has its own pictures and customs, its own idea of an ideal society, and its own city of God. There is always more to God than meets the eye; truth always has a greater prominence than human range.

CHANGE IN RELIGION

Previous symbolism of any kind does not mean that strict development or change has never occurred. Custom devotion does not preclude adaptation. According to Radhakrishnan, Hinduism believes that all religions can emerge organically and are inextricably linked to their respective ways of life. It requires that all religions set aside some time for communication, even if it is aware that none of them has attained the same level of goodness and truth.

The mindset of the religion has to be one of constructive cooperation rather than destructive

resiliency. Buddha forbids mental indolence or laziness. Every day, we should examine our lives and thoughts in the context of the truth, throwing out anything that is false or has outlived its usefulness. The only authority needed by truth is its own inherent authority. It is important to remember that the command to love one another is a part of reality that needs to be upheld at all costs. There should be no conflict between any religion on the earth and the one we practice. For the time being, man cannot be reinvented. We alter the ideas by expanding our engagement in a functional way. The more honorable a man is, the more deserving of God he is, and the purer his love.

Religions adapt to one another and translate themselves into one another. Its existence becomes a beneficial endeavor that strives to limit disparate customs and points of view to the greatest extent possible in order to create a more distinct picture of the perfect world. religious shift brought about by instinct and conviction in political matters. Life teaches us to persevere and to "suffer long for the good cause." Abroad application of the principles of liberty, equality, and fraternity had rendered remarkable religions the most adaptable, overall, and most suited to changing circumstances.

REINCARNATION AND KARMA

Similar to the purpose and inspiration underlying the universe's cycles, the norms of rita and satya imply a strict devotion to law and order. Any action that starts, so to speak, with individual distinction set against or indistinguishable from the overall request of Rita and Satya should obviously be interpreted as the work of an enemy, who is reacting

characteristically to such action by trying to restore the vast balance that has been upset. The standard for the repercussions of an action on its practitioner is the magical, moral, and mental regulative force known as karma. It necessitates that the person engaging in the activity go through a series of experiential cycles deemed metempsychosis or resurrection in circumstances distinct from the ones in which the activity was completed. The law of karma and resurrection would therefore be clearly visible as a logical law arising from the universe's integrality. The Vedas recognize the application of this law and recognize that how one lives one's present day determines how one lives one's future. In our examination of the subsequent developments in this well-known law of karma and samsara, we shall have occasion to revisit it.

The rationalistic inclination of certain darsanas' frameworks and the advancement of anvikshiki, or the application of reason, were spurred by the Vedas' logical pattern. The Vedas' emphasis on ceremony and sacerdotal rites laid the groundwork for the Mimamsa system, which was utterly dictatorial. The predominant forms of introspection and supplication found in the Vedic psalms provided reinforcement for the establishment of the Bhakti schools among the Vaishnavas, Saivas, and Saktas. The origins of the elaborate Itihasas, or stories, and the Puranas were framed by the chronicles of sages, anchorites, and monarchs that the Vedas outfitted. The Smritis or Dharmasastras, which systematized lead and law, were built upon the social norms and practices prevalent throughout the Vedic era. The social, political, and strict foundations were all designed to support an individual's ongoing progress toward the recognition of spiritual comprehensiveness, according to each person's aptitude and inclination.

THE TRANSITION PERIOD

The Samhitas and Brahmanas were dominated by a tone of ceremonialism and devotion, interspersed with pleasures of austere inclination and contemplative contentment, which occasionally led to a glimpse of the Virat, or the Cosmic Almighty. The temptation to perform material penances, or yajnas, in order to appease the divine beings praised in the Samhitas persisted in exerting extraordinary pressure on both the Brahmanas and the Kshatriyas, who shaped the upper societies of the social layers, even though the Vedic Rishis' idea of a spiritual vision in the world's objects reached its zenith in their contemplation of the Universe as a penance of the Supreme Purusha. The principle of tapas, or parsimony and caution, which finds expression in the Aranyakas as an organic product maturing from the Brahmanas and Samhitas, strengthened the need felt for a grave accomplishment of independence from want, which was the basis for the violation of law. As he continued to live in seclusion in the woods, the Tapasvin or anchorite began to garner greater respect than the Brahmanas' minister and the Samhitas' hymn writer. The tendency to see the Vedic penance more as an example of inward contemplation than external sacrifice strengthened firmly, and the regal devotion of the earlier Vedic aspect flowed into a magical analysis of creation. At the same time, it was discovered that the

internal penance yields greater results than the external.

THE TEMPLE ARCHITECTURE EVOLUTION

Mahendravaraman, referring to himself as Vichitrachitta (inquisitive and resourceful), boasts about having succeeded in excavating cave temples without the use of brick, mortar, metal, or wood. South India served as the birthplace of temple architecture, which has continued ever since. Typically, groups are created based on the names of the kingly dynasties. The Pallavas (AD 600–850) are known for their sculpted rock; the early Chola (AD 580–AD 1100) for their grand vimanas; the later Chola and Imperial Pandya (AD 1100–AD 1350) for their most exquisite gopuras; the end of the Vijayanagara (AD 1350–AD 1600) for their mantapas and pillared halls; and the Nayak (AD 1600–AD 1600) for their intricate corridors. A number of simple-designed cave temples were excavated by Mahendravarman in living rocks. They all had a shrine carved into their back and a porch supported by pillars in front. The pillars are not higher than seven feet and have a substantial thickness. They are separated into three portions: the middle section, which is octagonal and has four beveled sides, and the lower and top sections, which are cubical squares. Lotus medallions that have been etched adorn the two sadurams. Pilasters are the columns at the extremes. The Mahamantapa and Ardhamantapa are divided into two sections that make up the front verandah in some situations. There are one, three, or five cells or shrines carved out of the rock in each cave temple. There are large potikas, or corbels, above the pillars and pilasters. In certain instances, the kudu arches can be discovered etched on the Kapota. In certain caverns, there are sculptures of two dvarapalakas on either side of the entrance to the cells. These cave temples were also excavated during the reigns of Mahendravarman's successors, Narasimhavarman I, Paramesvaravarman I, and Narasinhavarman II. These temples share almost identical architectural features with the earlier ones, with the exception of the pillars, which are sometimes found to be taller and thinner and to have an oblong section. There is more room between them. In AD 630–668, under the reign of Narasimhavarman II. The Tamil nation is where this architecture of rock-cut caves achieved its pinnacle. The best examples of this type are limited to Mahabalipuram and are distinguished by a higher level of development in terms of both general execution and componentry. "A parapet made of model shrines, one long and one short, alternates on their façade, with a roll cornice adorned with a kudu motif. Edifice sculptures were carved out of the mural space between the pillars. The statues were carved out of the pilasters. Moreover, several of the mantapas' pillars are embellished with exquisite sculptures. Among them, Durga's defeat of the buffalo demon Mahisha, Krishna's erection of the Govardhana, Vishnu as Anantasayin, and Vishnu's incarnation as Varaha deserve special notice.

PHILOPHICAL MOVEMENTS

The Epics and Puranas are essentially pre-educational Vedanta in which the upper aspects of Sankhya and Yoga are emphasized. We have

just seen how the Bhagavadgita and Anu-Gita embody the teachings learned in the Mahabharata. The Upanishads' insight into the supernatural aspect of the Mahabharata is well-known. It relates Brahman to Narayana as the Supreme Being and acknowledges the Prakriti and Purusha of the Sankhya as the material and the pith, respectively, of the Universe (Jagat) and the individual (Jiva). In any event, Prakriti and Purusha are part of God and constitute His body, hence their reality is inseparable from His essence according to the Mahabharata's Vedanta. The complete scope of the Yoga framework is recognized in its practical dimensions as described by Patanjali; yet, the power of the dualism of Prakriti and Purusha, as well as the unusually reserved quality of Ishvara, are dismissed.

The Puranas contain many long stories about legends that praise one god or deity over another, showing their manifestations, stories of heavenly places of travel (Tirtha), vows or observances (Vrata), stories of noble causes (Dana), and so on. There are also shorter or shorter references to the creation cycle, the lineage of the divine beings, stories of Rishis, stories of evil presences and lords, and the arrangement of the world's continents as parts of the universe. In this sense, the Puranas serve as a comprehensive guide to contemporary ideas about religion and logic. However, the Bhagavata and the Vishnu Puranas provide a remarkably remarkable exception to this rule, comprising a genuinely astounding composition on an extraordinarily grandiose mode of cognition and enigma.

Because of the externalizing action of the faculties, God, as pure Consciousness, manifests as the objects of the world with the qualities of sound, touch, structure, taste, and smell. The perceptive sage does not perceive differentiation among the objects on Earth, just as one does not observe a difference among the limbs of one's own body. There is nothing outside of the Paramatman, according to the Vishnu Purana. The world is full of His glory. Because of obliviousness individuals view God as this Universe of obvious assortment. The world is, in actuality, Consciousness. One sees it through ignorance as a collection of articles. Truth be told, God never becomes an object. The seas, the mountains, and so forth are manifestations of Consciousness.

CONCLUSION

One of the ancient cultural sources that endures in the modern world is India. Its way of life eliminates all aspects of the world, including music, movement, craftsmanship, customs, religion, and innovations. In the last ten years, India's population has become less religiously diverse, with a decline observed in the following faiths: Buddhism, Sikhism, Hinduism, Jainism, Christianity, and Islam. It was inevitable that various religions would come together and develop. Life teaches us to persevere and to "suffer noble cause sufferenth long." Extensive applications of the principles of liberty, equality, and solidarity have rendered remarkable religions the most adaptable, all things being equal, and best able to self-adjust to changing circumstances. The pleasures of sense are deceptive, and man's ignorance leads him to seek happiness in things that seem good as long as there is a need for them. Nothing in the world finds harmony for the inquisitive mind to find. The only thing the longings can do is jump from

one concentration to the next in search of the happiness they can't seem to find outside. Man's entire existence is a completely meaningless endeavor that ends with no benefit to the anxious mind. The ignorance of the true nature of joy is the cause of this agonizing state. Other than getting the correct facts, it is quite doubtful that there will be a chance for true soul satisfaction. Without the proper effort, information does not just appear out of nowhere. A well-coordinated effort guarantees that it will result in perfection.

REFERENCES

1. Emile Boutroux. "The Essence of Religion" Downloaded from www.jstor.org. Accessed on 05 May 2018 00:41:35 UTC+7

2. Gaur, Aakanksha. 2017. "The Classification of Religions". Downloaded from www. britannica.com. Accessed on May, 11th 2018 18:59:55 UTC+7

3. Sandeep Singh Brar. 2011. "Sikhism". Downloaded from https://www.sikhs.org. Accessed on May, 4th 2018 02:54:31 UTC+7

4. Chattopadhyaya. B.D., The Making of Early Medieval India

5. Karashima Noboru, South Indian History and Culture

6. Sanjay Asthana (2008) Religion and Secularism as Embedded Imaginaries: A Study of Indian Television Narratives, Critical Studies in Media Communication, 25:3, 304-323

7. Taufik, Egi. (2018). The Meeting of Religions in India: Literature Analyzing on Eastern Religion And Western Thought and Fi al-'Aqâid wa al-Adyân.

8. Pande, Rekha. (2005). Religious Movements in Medieval India.

9. Daniels, R. History of Indian Immigration to the United States. New York: The Asia Society, 1989.

10. Daniels, R. History of Indian Immigration to the United States. New York: The Asia Society, 1989.

11. Bhattacharya, Sibjiban. "The Indian Philosophical Systems: Their Basic Unity

12. and Relevance Today." In *Indian Philosophical Systems*, 1-14. Kolkata: The

13. Ramakrishna Institute of Culture, 2010.Daya Krishna. *Indian Philosophy: A Counter Perspective*. New Delhi: Oxford

14. University Press, 1991.Dasgupta, S.N. *A History of Indian Philosophy*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass,1991.

15. Deussen, Paul. *The Philosophy of Upaniṣads*. New York: Cosimo Classics,2010.

16. Ganeri, J. *The Oxford Handbook of Indian Philosophy*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2017

17. Radhakrishnan, S. *Indian Philosophy,* Second Edition. London: George Allen & Unwin, 1931.